# **New Forest Curlews:** Predation and other factors affecting their breeding success



Elli Rivers - Curlew PhD project Mike Short - Head of Predation Management Research





Game & Wildlife



## Four years of data collection. We've been busy....

- 116 curlew breeding attempts monitored
- 41 curlew nests monitored with trail cameras
- 54 lapwing nests also monitored with trail cameras as a comparative species, and one snipe!
- 13 adult curlews GPS tagged
- 21 curlew chicks radio-tagged and tracked daily with 100% known outcomes

- Analysis objectives:
  - Nest site selection
  - Nest survival

Habitat selection during brood rearing and factors influencing chick survival

Adult landscape use and functionally linked land



## Nest site selection

- Strong non-random preference for mire compared to dry heath.
- In all buffer size classes woodland covered proportionally less area than random, and wet heath more area.
- Dry heath area was lower than random in the immediate 50m buffer, but this relationship reversed at 1000m.
- The area of mire in the 50m buffers was higher than in the random distribution, but this relationship weakened in the bigger buffer classes.



& Wildlife CONSERVATION TRUST

#### Habitat influences on nest survival





## A31 and woodland avoided



- In distance measures, nests were placed further from woodland and the A31 than random distribution.
- No strong influence on nest placement from car parks, campsites, scrub, ponds or the A35.



## The short version: Mire habitats are important to curlew





## Nest survival



Building on chapter 1, looking at anthropogenic factors which might be influencing nest survival.



Nest exposure time as a proxy for disturbance, using trail camera data and Al model.



Fox sighting rate, separated by beats in the Forest.



Trail camera predator identities.





## Fox sighting rates



model <chr></chr>	weight <dbl></dbl>
S(~SR)	0.50560485
S(~Broad + A31Dist + A35Dist)	0.26813602
S(~Mire)	0.13429588
S(~Campsite)	0.05552040
S(~CarPark)	0.03644286

- Detailed fox culling records have been kept by New Forest keepers since 2021.
- Sighting rates derived from these records have been included in nest survival analyses as a measure of potential predation pressure.
- Fox sighting rate was found to be the strongest predictor of nest failure of all modelled covariates: distance to campsite, car park, roads and characteristic of mire habitat.



# **Chick survival**



21 curlew chicks radio-tagged with 0.6g microtags.

Followed intensively – every chick, every day.

Position of brood recorded daily for habitat usage.

100% known outcome for every chick in the study: fledged, predated or died.

Recovered tags being analysed for possible recovery of predator DNA at Exeter University.



# All grown up... and in Brittany









# ...and Devon







# Why is fox predation such a problem locally?

- LIFE Waders for Real project, Avon Valley (2015-2019)
- 35 adult foxes GPS-tagged from March to July in two wet grassland sites important for breeding waders
- Minimum fox density at Britford =10.6 foxes/km2 and at Somerley = 2.4 foxes/km2
- Camera trapping and genotyping indicates fox density at Britford was considerably higher with transients
- Why? No fox control + discarded fish waste

• Waders no (2024) 70:8 European Journal of Wildlife Research (2024) 70:8 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-023-01759-y

#### RESEARCH

Movement ecology and minimum density estimates of red foxes in wet grassland habitats used by breeding wading birds

Tom A. Porteus<sup>1</sup> · Mike J. Short<sup>1</sup> · Andrew N. Hoodless<sup>1</sup> · Jonathan C. Reynolds<sup>1</sup>





## What food resources support New Forest foxes?

- Nathan Williams, PhD: "Causes and implications of Fox Population Dynamics in Central Southern England"
- Macroscopic diet analysis 452 fox stomachs collected by New Forest wildlife managers
- Anthropogenic foods account for ca. 12% of fox diet
- Presence is predicted by proximity to human settlements





Game & Wildlife CONSERVATION TRUST

Bournemouth University

# What food resources support New Forest foxes?

- Molecular diet analysis this will identify food items we can't see
- Metabarcoding stomach contents
  - ground-nesting birds / herptiles / livestock afterbirth / dog faeces
- Stable-isotope analysis of fox hair
  - identifies broad food categories (e.g. grazing mammal / gamebirds / fruit)
  - important to know about the diet of immigrant foxes
  - RAD-sequencing to genetically map movements of urban and rural foxes









## What are the effects of anthropogenic subsidisation?

- Computer modelling of New Forest fox data
  - diet / culling records / productivity / local fox density estimates / known food requirements
- Estimated total annual volume of anthropogenic food consumed by foxes and how many individuals this resource could support in isolation
- Calculations indicate number of foxes subsidised by anthropogenic food equates to more than half the total number culled by wildlife managers
- Culling foxes is expensive and controversial but currently there are no practical alternatives to protect curlew nests
- Better local food sanitation and education should become key parts of a more holistic management approach to reduce the burden of fox predation
- In Review: Williams et al (2024) Evidence of anthropogenic subsidisation of red foxes in a national park important for wading birds







## New Forest Curlews – what's next?

- Understanding chick survival
  - habitat quality, predation, disturbance, livestock density and parasite burdens
- Post-fledging survival: how many fledglings recruit?
- Do adult curlews have favoured pastoral feeding areas in and around the Forest?
- How will curlews respond to changes in management?
- Will compensatory predation by protected species become a problem?
- Could non-lethal nest protection methods work?
- What will a successful curlew recovery look like?
- We need a longer-term curlew monitoring program





# Acknowledgements

- Forestry England Wildlife Management Team
- Private estates in the New Forest National Park
- GWCT Predation Research Team
  - Nathan Williams, PhD
  - Jodie Case
  - Rosa Hicks and Matt Cooper
  - Dr Tom Porteus
  - Dr Andrew Hoodless
- Pete Potts and Graham Giddens
- HOS and Wild New Forest









